

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



Не

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE    | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.      | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|----------------|----------------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| 09/414,764   | 10/07/1999     | SUZANNE M. PAULEY    | 460.1791USU              | 3820             |
| 7.   | 590 12/18/2002 |                      |                          |                  |
| CHARLES N.J. RUGGIERO OHLAND GREELEY RUGGIERO & PERLE LLP ONE LANDMARK SQUARE 9TH FLOOR STAMFORD, CT 069012682 |                |                      | EXAMINER                 |                  |
|  |                |                      | RUHL, DENNIS WILLIAM     |                  |
|  |                |                      | ART UNIT                 | PAPER NUMBER     |
| orram ord,   | 0. 00,012002   |                      | 3761                     |                  |
|  |                |                      | DATE MAIL ED. 10/10/2002 |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|   | Application No.  | Applicant(s)                 |  |  |  |
|---|--|------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action   | 09/414,764   | PAULEY ET AL.                |  |  |  |
| Advisory Action   | Examiner   | Art Unit                     |  |  |  |
|   | Dennis Ruhl  | 3761                         |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address   |  |                              |  |  |  |
| THE REPLY FILED 18 November 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.  |  |                              |  |  |  |
|   | EPLY [check either a) or b)]   |                              |  |  |  |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  | visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the<br>lan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o<br>FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH | E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP  |  |  |  |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee under nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). |  |                              |  |  |  |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.  |  |                              |  |  |  |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:   |  |                              |  |  |  |
| (a) 🔯 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  |  |                              |  |  |  |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);  |  |                              |  |  |  |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or  |  |                              |  |  |  |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.   |  |                              |  |  |  |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.   |  |                              |  |  |  |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the 112 rejection for claims 1,15,16,18.  |  |                              |  |  |  |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).   |  |                              |  |  |  |
| 5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.  |  |                              |  |  |  |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.  |  |                              |  |  |  |
| For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.   |  |                              |  |  |  |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |  |                              |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) allowed: none.   |  |                              |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .   | • •  |                              |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3,5,7-20</u> .  |  |                              |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 4.6  |  |                              |  |  |  |
| B. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.   |  |                              |  |  |  |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)   |  |                              |  |  |  |
| 10. Other:  |  |                              |  |  |  |
| <del></del>   |  | MINE                         |  |  |  |
|   |  | DENNIS RUHL PRIMARY EXAMINER |  |  |  |

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 009/41%;764

Application No.



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The claims have been amended to recite a different scope from that presented previously and this requires further consideration from the examiner.

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments are based on the amended claims that have been denied entry as addressed above and that require further consideration from the examiner..